

Article

pubs.acs.org/est

Inland Waters Increasingly Produce and Emit Nitrous Oxide

Junjie Wang,* Lauriane Vilmin, José M. Mogollón, Arthur H. W. Beusen, Wim J. van Hoek, Xiaochen Liu,* Philip A. Pika, Jack J. Middelburg, and Alexander F. Bouwman

 Cite This: Environ. Sci. Technol. 2023, 57, 13506–13519
 Read Online

 ACCESS
 Image: Acticle Recommendations
 Image: Acticle Recommendations

 ABSETD ACT:
 No emission (inland waters) 127
 Image: Acticle Recommendations

ABSTRACT: Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is a long-lived greenhouse gas and currently contributes ~10% to global greenhouse warming. Studies have suggested that inland waters are a large and growing global N₂O source, but whether, how, where, when, and why inland-water N₂O emissions changed in the Anthropocene remains unclear. Here, we quantify global N₂O formation, transport, and emission along the aquatic continuum and their changes using a spatially explicit, mechanistic, coupled biogeochemistry–hydrology model. The global inland-water N₂O emission increased from 0.4 to 1.3 Tg N yr⁻¹ during 1900–2010 due to (1) growing N₂O inputs mainly from groundwater and (2) increased inland-water N₂O production, largely in reservoirs. Inland waters currently



contribute 7 (5-10)% to global total N₂O emissions. The highest inland-water N₂O emissions are typically in and downstream of reservoirs and areas with high population density and intensive agricultural activities in eastern and southern Asia, southeastern North America, and Europe. The expected continuing excessive use of nutrients, dam construction, and development of suboxic conditions in aging reservoirs imply persisting high inland-water N₂O emissions.

KEYWORDS: nitrous oxide, greenhouse gas emission, inland waters, N_2O cycling, long-term temporal changes, spatial distributions, closed N_2O budget, integrated process-based modeling

1. INTRODUCTION

The Earth's climate is warming at an unprecedented rate, mainly because of increasing greenhouse gas concentrations. Atmospheric nitrous oxide (N_2O) concentrations have increased from 270 to 333 ppb since 1750^{1,2} (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information, SI), and N₂O currently contributes ~10% to the global anthropogenic greenhouse warming effect.^{1,3} N₂O production is controlled by nitrogen (N) transformation processes in soils and aquatic environments, including denitrification (reduction of nitrite, NO₂⁻, and nitrate, NO_3^{-})^{4,5} and nitrification (oxidation of ammonium, $\mathrm{NH_4^{+}})^{5,6}_{*}$ and can escape to the atmosphere. These $\mathrm{N_2O}\text{-}$ related biogeochemical processes are influenced by multiple environmental factors including temperature, oxygen conditions, acidity, hydrology, concentrations of reactive N forms (resulting from various natural and anthropogenic sources), availability of organic matter, and sediment accumulation.⁷ Due to the rapidly growing population and production of food and energy since the beginning of the industrial era, the global N cycle has accelerated by increasing anthropogenic N inputs from agriculture, wastewater, aquaculture, and atmospheric deposition.^{10–14} Between 1900 and 2000, N inputs to global surface waters have almost doubled.¹⁰ The increasing reactive N availability as substrates for nitrification and denitrification almost inevitably leads to more N2O production. Surface waters in globally expanding agricultural areas, particularly loworder streams, are typically N₂O-supersaturated compared to the atmosphere.^{15–21} As a result, riverine N₂O emissions have increased rapidly.^{22–28} Reservoirs created by damming rivers are important sources of atmospheric N₂O,^{29–37} and reservoir N₂O emissions are growing due to the rapid increase in the global reservoir volume. Another process causing increasing N₂O emissions from aging reservoirs is the accumulation of organic material and subsequent development of low-oxygen conditions, which may trigger N₂O production through incomplete denitrification and incomplete nitrification.³⁷ In the scope of current efforts to mitigate human emissions and warming, it is therefore essential to assess the contribution of inland waters (i.e., streams, rivers, lakes, and reservoirs) to the global N₂O budget.

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) guidelines proposed the use of an emission factor (i.e., N_2O emission is calculated as a fraction of N inputs into the soil-hydrological system). This approach yields a N_2O -emission

Received:June 3, 2023Revised:August 14, 2023Accepted:August 15, 2023Published:August 30, 2023







Figure 1. (a) Scheme of N flows and processes (including N_2O dynamics) in the IMAGE-DGNM model and (b) scheme of N_2O dynamics in the DISC-NITROGEN module of IMAGE-DGNM at a $0.5 \times 0.5^{\circ}$ grid scale. Water fluxes in panel (a) link various landscape components: soils, aquifers, riparian zones, streams, rivers, wetlands, floodplains, lakes, and reservoirs. For descriptions of the equations, stoichiometry, and parameters for the inland-water N processes of DISC-NITROGEN shown in panel (b), see the full model description in ref 71.

estimate of 0.6 (0.1–2.9) Tg N $\rm yr^{-1}$ from global rivers and coastal areas in the 2000s, constituting $\sim 10\%$ of the global anthropogenic emission of 6.9 Tg N yr⁻¹³⁸ Although transparent and simple, the IPCC (AR5) approach ignores the spatial heterogeneity and temporal variability of hydrological conditions (construction of dams and reservoirs), N delivery to inland waters due to changes in human activities (land use, fertilizer use, intensification of agriculture, population, wastewater discharge, etc.), and inland-water biogeochemical processes. Alternatively, empirical relations between N₂O and N are used for estimating N₂O emissions from individual rivers^{17,22,24,39-45} or specific waterbody types $^{18,30,32,34-36,46-53}$ and to obtain snapshot global-scale N₂O-emission estimates. $^{23,26,27,54-61}$ These estimates can include various uncertainties due to scant or biased sampling, limited validity of correlations applied, and lumping of data and processes to aggregated levels with temporal and/or spatial variability ignored. Moreover, the recently reported sinks of N2O in some aquatic systems complexify the question of inland-water N2O fluxes and their spatiotemporal changes and urge an in-depth understanding of the mechanism behind quantities.^{62,63} Process-based models have been developed to quantify N2O fluxes from some inland waterbodies including those in the latest IPCC AR6.^{7,25,64-66} However, so far, nonuniform approaches are used to estimate N2O emissions from different waterbodies, which are added up to estimate the total inland-water N2O emissions.^{7,67} The spatiotemporal changes in global total inland-water N2O emissions in the Anthropocene and the underlying mechanisms remain unclear due to the lack of a unified methodology to track various N sources, cover all waterbodies, and resolve key inland-water N

processes and their coupling with regulating environmental factors (temperature, hydrology, availability of oxygen and organic matter, and sediments). A noteworthy limitation of existing approaches is that they do not consider the increasing reservoir volume and evolving biogeochemical conditions in aging reservoirs and the delivery of dissolved N₂O from groundwater to inland waters, a source that was first recognized in the 1980s.^{68–70}

In this study, we quantify the spatiotemporal changes in the global freshwater N2O budget (input, production, consumption, emission to the atmosphere, and export to coastal waters) during 1900–2010. Specifically, we quantify the role of rivers, streams, lakes, reservoirs, and groundwater in N2O budgets regionally and globally as a function of the global acceleration of the N cycle and the increasing number of reservoirs and their aging process. Our analysis uses the Integrated Model to Assess the Global Environment (IMAGE)-Dynamic Global Nutrient Model (IMAGE-DGNM),⁷¹ a spatially explicit, coupled, process-based description of N cycle in the terrestrial-groundwater-surface water system. This model resolves N2O dynamics in surface freshwaters, which quantitatively considers water temperature, oxygen conditions, hydrology, loadings of reactive N forms (from various natural and anthropogenic sources), availability of organic matter, and sediment accumulation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Existing Implementation of N Cycling in IMAGE-DGNM. The Integrated Model to Assess the Global Environment (IMAGE)-Dynamic Global Nutrient Model (IMAGE-DGNM) is a global spatially explicit, integrated modeling

framework to simulate dynamic coupled biogeochemical cycling of multiple nutrient and carbon forms from terrestrial systems to surface freshwaters.⁷¹⁻⁷⁴ Within the IMAGE-DGNM framework, the IMAGE model⁷⁵ provides long-term land cover, climate, and water use data to the hydrology model PCR-GLOBWB,⁷⁶ and their coupling provides nutrient delivery fluxes to the process-based DISC module⁷¹⁻⁷³ (Figure 1 and Table S2a). The hydrological model PCR-GLOBWB dynamically simulates the water area, volume, runoff, and discharge in the different waterbodies including high-order (Strahler orders ≥ 6) rivers, lakes, and reservoirs for each year,^{76,77} with characteristics of drainage network, lakes, and reservoirs from refs 78-80, respectively. The hydrological characteristics of low-order (Strahler orders < 6) streams for each 0.5-by-0.5-degree grid are estimated based on the hydrology of high-order rivers using the parameterization method proposed by Wollheim et al.,⁸¹ with detailed descriptions of equations and parameters used in IMAGE-DGNM in refs 71, 82. Extensive validation in open-access publications has shown that the runoff, water discharge, total water storage, evapotranspiration, precipitation, and other hydrological properties simulated by PCR-GLOBWB (hydrological module in IMAGE-DGNM) agree well with observations.^{76,77,83} In this study, the lake area is from Global Lakes and Wetlands Database (GLWD1),⁷⁹ the reservoir area is from the Global Reservoir and Dam database (GRanD v1.3)⁸⁰ and introduced dynamically based on the construction year, and IMAGE-DGNM estimates that low-order streams account for 61-66% of the total inland-water area during 1900-2010, which is very close to the 69% estimated using data from HydroSHEDS⁸⁴ by Raymond et al.⁸⁵ Therefore, surface water area, a factor proven important for estimating inland-water gas emissions,^{85,86} is properly simulated in IMAGE-DGNM. In this study, a 1 year temporal resolution is used in all modules

inland-water N₂O budget on the global scale. The implementation of N cycling in the DISC module (DISC-NITROGEN)⁷¹ describes the inland-water dynamics of ammonium (NH₄⁺), nitrate + nitrite (NO_x⁻, = NO₂⁻ + NO₃⁻), and organic N (ON). ON is composed of detrital ON (ON_{DET}) and ON constituting living primary producers (ON_{pp}) in the water column as well as ON_{DET} and ON_{pp} accumulated in the benthic layer (i.e., $ON_{DET,b}$ and $ON_{PP,b}$). The inputs of these different N forms to global surface freshwaters for the period 1900-2010 are based on Vilmin et al.,⁸⁷ including those from agricultural and natural ecosystems via surface runoff and groundwater discharge, wastewater, vegetation in flooded areas, atmospheric deposition, and aquaculture (Figure S2). Dynamics of the suspended material and sediments and dissolved oxygen (DO) are explicitly represented, and the accumulation of ON in sediments and consequent oxygen consumption are tracked,^{71,88} since they impact N cycling and N2O-related processes under suboxic conditions (Figure 1). Equations and parameters used to describe relevant processes of N transformations, exchange, and transport with the spatiotemporal changes in hydrological, temperature, and oxic conditions, sediment dynamics, and N loading from human and natural sources, are available in ref 71. Process rates and resulting amounts of different biogeochemical species are assessed per year for each $0.5\times0.5^\circ$ grid, for every waterbody type (i.e., stream orders from 1 to ≥ 6 , lakes and reservoirs) in global inland waters.

within IMAGE-DGNM to explore the long-term change in the

2.2. Riverine N₂O Module: Modeling of N₂O Cycling and Emissions from Global Watersheds. In the present work, we extend DISC-NITROGEN with the processes affecting N₂O dynamics. This requires descriptions of external N₂O inputs to surface freshwaters as well as inland-water processes in water and sediments and the exchange at the water-atmosphere interface.

2.2.1. Estimation of N_2O Inputs to Inland Waters. 2.2.1.1. N₂O Input from Groundwater. Groundwaters in both agricultural and forest areas are often N2O-supersaturated relative to the atmosphere, with reported concentrations of up to 4000 μ g of N₂O-N/L.^{68,69,89–97} The IMAGE-DGNM approaches for simulating N flows across landscapes and waterscapes (Figure S4) are extensively described in a series of papers.^{10,82,98} Briefly, soil denitrification and leaching to groundwater depend on soil wetness and temperature and a number of physical and chemical soil properties (land use, soil texture, aeration, content of soil organic carbon) relying on simplifications of a range of other published models. Groundwater N transport, denitrification, and travel time are modeled on the basis of the porosity of the parent material and the half-life of NO_3^- (derived from the literature), which is related to lithology. Two groundwater compartments are implemented⁸² (Figure S4): (i) a 50 m thick deep groundwater layer (with high water residence time) that is located below the shallow groundwater system and directly flows to the rivers and streams at greater distances (>1 km) and (ii) a shallow groundwater system (top 5 m of saturated zones where water is retained over short residence times) that can infiltrate into the deep groundwater system or enter surface waterbodies at short distances (<1 m) by either moving through the riparian zone to streams and rivers or bypassing the riparian zone and flowing directly to surface waterbodies (rivers, streams, lakes, and reservoirs). Riparian denitrification depends on the characteristics of the groundwater flow, soil, and climate.^{82,99}

We assume that N2O discharged from groundwater to surface water $(L_{N,O grw})$ stems from two parts of net N_2O production: (i) shallow groundwater denitrification $(L_{N_2O \text{ grw sgrw}})$ and (ii) riparian denitrification $(L_{N_2O \text{ grw rip}})$, and the N₂O is transported by groundwater to surface waters where emission or further transport can occur. IMAGE-DGNM considers N₂O produced in soil profiles as direct emission to the atmosphere;^{82,99} thus, it is assumed not transported by groundwater to surface waters. In the model, the N₂O possibly produced via nitrification in groundwater⁹² is assumed to be consumed during transport, thus not reaching surface waters. Based on refs 58, 94-96, 100-111 and Figure S5, a constant fraction ($f_{N_2O_sgrw}$ = 1%, median of the 278 reported measurement-based values; see Text S1 for details) of shallow groundwater denitrification (DENIT_{sgrw})^{82,99} is calculated as the associated net N_2O production (i.e., $L_{N_2O grw sgrw}$, part i), which is transported to surface waters directly or indirectly via deep groundwater or riparian zones (Figure S4), following eq 1

$$L_{N_2O_grw_sgrw} = DENIT_{sgrw} \cdot f_{N_2O_sgrw}$$
(1)

The net N₂O production via riparian denitrification that is transported to surface waters (i.e., part ii) is calculated from the local denitrification and soil pH in each grid using eq 2^{82,99}

$$L_{N_2O_grw_rip} = N_2O_{rip} \cdot (1 - f_{den_rip})$$
⁽²⁾

where N_2O_{rip} is the total net N_2O production in the riparian zone of the grid estimated by IMAGE-DGNM^{82,99} and f_{den_rip} is the N removal rate (i.e., denitrification rate) used to further partition the two N_2O pathways in riparian zones: N_2O_{rip} . f_{den_rip} is assumed as the direct N_2O emission to the atmosphere in terrestrial areas, and $N_2O_{rip} \cdot (1 - f_{den_rip})$ is assumed as the N_2O transported by shallow groundwater to surface waters.

2.2.1.2. N₂O Input from Atmospheric Deposition. We assume that the concentration of dissolved N₂O in rainfalls equals the atmospheric equilibrium N₂O concentration $(C_{N_2O,sat})$ in mol·m⁻³; the N₂O inputs from atmospheric deposition to surface water $(L_{N_2O_atm})$ are thus calculated as precipitation (in m yr⁻¹) × surface water area (in m²) × $C_{N_2O,sat}$ and $C_{N_2O,sat}$ is calculated using eq 3 from ref 112:

$$C_{N_{2}O,sat}(T) = 1000 \cdot p_{N_{2}O} \cdot exp \left[-165.8806 + \frac{222.8743 \cdot 100}{T + 273.15} + 92.0792 \cdot ln \left(\frac{T + 273.15}{100} \right) - 1.48425 \cdot \left(\frac{T + 273.15}{100} \right)^{2} \right]$$
(3)

where *T* is the temperature (in °C) and p_{N_2O} is the partial pressure of N₂O in the atmosphere. We use the yearly data of global p_{N_2O} estimates for the period 1750–2010 from the Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) of IPCC.¹

2.2.2. Inland-Water N_2O Dynamics. For every waterbody type within a grid cell, changes in the amount of inland-water N_2O (d N_2O) during the time step (dt) can be expressed in a mass-balanced manner as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_2O}{\mathrm{d}t} = L_{N_2O} - Q \cdot C_{N_2O} + \mathrm{NIT}_{N_2O} + \mathrm{NIT}_{\mathrm{DENIT}_{N_2O}}$$
$$+ \mathrm{DENIT}_{N_2O} + \mathrm{BNIT}_{N_2O} + \mathrm{BDENIT}_{N_2O}$$
$$+ \mathrm{EXCH}_{N_2O} \tag{4}$$

where L_{N_2O} is the N_2O input to the waterbody (from headwaters, upstream grids, and external groundwater input $L_{N,O \text{ grw}}$ and atmospheric deposition input $L_{N,O \text{ atm}}$), Q is the discharge (m $^3 {\cdot} h^{-1})\text{, } C_{N_2O}$ is the inland-water dissolved N_2O concentration (mol·m⁻³), the net N₂O production includes that from nitrification $(NIT_{N,O})$, nitrifier denitrification (NIT_DENIT_{N,0}), and denitrification (DENIT_{N,0}, which can be positive in the case of net N2O production as intermediate during incomplete denitrification, or negative in the case of net N_2O consumption via complete denitrification) in the water column and that from nitrification $(BNIT_{N,O})$ and denitrification in the bed sediment (BDENIT_{N,0}), and $EXCH_{N_2O}$ is the N₂O exchange at the water-atmosphere interface (Figure 1). The reaction rates of each inland-water process are subject to change depending on the contemporaneous environmental conditions (such as temperature, hydrology, concentrations of different N forms and oxygen, sediment dynamics, and coupled interactions; see Table S1) specific to that time, location, and waterbody. Further details on the equations (Table S1) and parameters (Table S2b) used

in the model to describe inland-water N_2O dynamics under local hydrological, temperature, and oxic conditions and loadings of N_2O and N from various sources are in the SI.

Based on refs 16 and 54, we assume that a constant fraction $(f_{N_2O} = 1\%)$ of NO₃⁻ used as an electron acceptor for organic matter degradation is converted to N2O during the denitrification processes DENIT and BDENIT; additional N₂O consumption via reduction to dinitrogen (N₂) during denitrification occurs when the NO3⁻ concentration is lower than the threshold $(K_{NO_3N_2O\ reduc})$ of 2.7 $\mu mol\ L^{-1}$ and temperature is higher than the threshold $(T_{\rm lim,N_2O\ reduc})$ of 3.1 °C.²¹ Based on ref 113, we assume that a constant fraction $(f_{\rm N_2O}$ = 1%) of NH₄⁺ consumption during the nitrification processes NIT and BNIT is converted to N2O; based on ref 113, we assume that a constant fraction ($f_{N,O} = 1\%$) of NH₄⁺ consumption during the nitrifier denitrification processes NIT DENIT is converted to N2O when the DO concentration is lower than the threshold $(S_{O_2,NIT DENIT})$ of 1.5 mg L⁻¹. NIT DENIT and N₂O consumption are combined into DENIT when analyzing the N2O flux. DENIT_{N0} thus represents the net production of N_2O via denitrification in the water column depending on temperature, oxic conditions, and concentrations of N2O and NOx-, with positive values indicating the net N2O production as intermediate and negative values indicating the net N2O consumption/uptake by complete denitrification.

To estimate the N_2O exchange at the water–atmosphere interface, we use the formalism from Alin et al. 114

$$\text{EXCH}_{N_{2}O} = k_{600} \cdot \left(\frac{Sc_{N_{2}O}(T)}{600}\right)^{-0.5} \cdot (C_{N_{2}O,\text{sat}} - C_{N_{2}O}) \cdot V$$
(5)

where k_{600} is the gas transfer velocity at the water—atmosphere interface at 20 °C (estimated using flow velocity for streams and windspeed for rivers according to Alin et al.¹¹⁴ and using the method of Raymond et al.⁸⁵ for lakes and reservoirs; more details in Table S1), V (in m³) is the volume of the waterbody, C_{N_2O} (mol·m⁻³) represents the inland-water N₂O concentration, and $Sc_{N_2O}(T)$ is the Schmidt number for N₂O exchange in freshwater systems at temperature T (°C), which according to Wanninkhof¹¹⁵ can be expressed as

$$Sc_{N_2O}(T) = 2055.6 - 137.11 \cdot T + 4.3173 \cdot T^2$$

- 0.05435 \cdot T³ (6)

2.3. Model Performance Assessment. Validation and sensitivity analyses of IMAGE-DGNM simulations of N cycling to changes in inputs and process parameters can be found in an early study.⁷¹ In this study, we performed a sensitivity analysis of the simulated inland-water N_2O emissions (including total inland-water emissions and those from different waterbodies) and dissolved N_2O export to oceans to the model inputs and parameters which are identified as the most important: N_2O input from ground-water, N_2O input from atmospheric deposition, N_2O inland-water production rate via nitrification, N_2O inland-water production rate via denitrification, total nitrogen, (TN, sum of NH_4^+ , NO_x^- , and ON) delivery in inland waters, water discharge, and temperature (Texts S3 and S4 and Figure S10).



Figure 2. (a) Temporal changes in N_2O emissions from global inland waters during 1900–2010 and (b) temporal evolution of N_2O inputs (from the atmosphere and groundwater) and net within-system production (via nitrification and denitrification) in the water column and sediment. Rivers and streams are partitioned into high-order (Strahler order 6 or higher) and low-order (Strahler order 5 or lower) systems. See Figure S2c for the detailed composition of N_2O inputs from groundwater. The net N_2O production via denitrification can be positive (net production as intermediate) or negative (net consumption by complete denitrification) for a waterbody type in a grid cell in a year depending on the local temperature, oxic conditions, and concentrations of N_2O and NO_x^- (see Section 2.2.2. Inland-Water N_2O Dynamics for details).

To assess the model's performance at the global scale, we compare the model results with the observed water discharge, N₂O emission, and concentrations of TN, N₂O, and DO per site per river basin per year at the overall four levels using available observational data from published databases and literature (Table S3 and Figures S6–S9). First, the temporal patterns of the simulations and observations for each variable among the water discharge, TN, and DO are compared for each of the 16 sites covering different waterbodies and different up-/mid-/downstream locations in the large Mississippi River basin for the period since the 1930s (Figure S6). Second, we compared the root mean squared error (RMSE, calculation method described in Text S2) of the simulated and observed annual average for each variable among water discharge, TN, N₂O, and DO for each site in global inland waters for the period since the 1920s (Table S3 and Figures S7 and S8). These inland-water systems represent a range in climate, hydrology (sites in up-, mid-, and downstream and different waterbodies), and human activity (land use, dam construction, etc.). Third, we compared the overall N2O simulations and observations in global inland waters in terms of different continents, climate zones, and waterbodies at both 1:1 and \log_{10} scales (Figure S9). Lastly, we also extensively compare our global N2O-emission estimates from different waterbodies for different periods with those reported by existing measurement-based and model-based studies (Sections 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, and 3.6 and Table S4).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Model Performance. Temporally, the historical trends and interannual variability of TN, DO, and water discharge since the 1930s are well captured by the model for 16 different up-/mid-/downstream sites covering different waterbodies in the Mississippi River Basin (Figure S6). Spatially, the simulated water discharge and concentrations of TN, N₂O, and DO agree with observations per variable per site per river basin per year in global inland waters since the 1920s, with the median RMSE of global simulations and observations lower than 100% for all variables (Figure S8). These observations are from sites covering different climates, population densities, economic levels, degrees of agricultural expansion, hydrological control by dams, waterbodies, and up-/mid-/downstream sites. The differences for sites with

RMSE larger than 200% can be partly explained by the different temporal and spatial scales of simulations and observations, such as the limited numbers and inhomogeneous temporal coverage of available observations within a year versus the yearly simulation results. Moreover, the N2O simulations and observations in global inland waters show a good agreement in terms of different continents, climate zones, and waterbodies at both 1:1 and log_{10} scales (Figure S9). Our simulated emissions of N2O also agree with observations from the literature at both 1:1 and log₁₀ scales (Figure S9g,h). In particular, our simulated low N2O emissions and even N2O sinks in northern high-latitude regions (Figure 4) are consistent with reported N2O sinks in boreal aquatic networks;^{62,63} our simulated N₂O concentrations (0.36-1.1 μ g N₂O-N/L) in the Congo River basin also agree with Congo measurements $(0.2-1.1 \ \mu g \ N_2O-N/L)$,¹¹⁶ with reported undersaturation areas of N₂O in Congo¹¹⁷ reproduced in our simulated N₂O spatial pattern (Figure 4). This fair agreement between model predictions and independent observations for N₂O, TN, DO, and hydrological flows in global inland waters gives confidence to the overall methodologically consistent approach.

3.2. Changes in the Global Overall Inland-Water N₂O Fate and Emissions During 1900–2010. The simulated global N₂O emissions from inland waters increased slowly from 0.38 to 0.47 Tg N yr⁻¹ before 1950 and rapidly after 1950 to 1.27 Tg N yr⁻¹ in 2010 (Figure 2). In contrast to other global estimates for the overall inland waters, we estimate that about half of total inland-water N₂O emissions during 1900–2010 were from the inflow of N₂O from groundwater, with its contribution declining from 58% in 1900 to 47% in 2010. The rest was from inland-water N₂O production.

The total N₂O and N inputs to inland waters showed similar temporal patterns, with slowly increasing deliveries during the first half of the 20th century and rapid increases afterward. While TN delivery to global inland waters increased from 27 to 68 Tg N yr⁻¹ (Figure S2), the total external N₂O inputs increased from 0.23 to 0.61 Tg N yr⁻¹ (Figure 2), mostly from groundwater (Figure S2). Our model estimate of global groundwater N₂O inputs to inland waters (0.5 Tg N yr⁻¹) for the mid-1980s agrees with the estimate $(0.4-1.0 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1})^{70}$ based on observations in aquifers.

pubs.acs.org/est



Figure 3. Temporal changes in the N₂O input and net within-system production through different biogeochemical processes in different waterbodies during 1900–2010: (a) reservoirs, (b) lakes, (c) high-order rivers, and (d) low-order streams. Rivers and streams are partitioned into high-order (Strahler order 6 or higher) and low-order (Strahler order 5 or lower) systems. The net N₂O production via denitrification can be positive (net production as intermediate) or negative (net consumption by complete denitrification) for a waterbody type in a grid cell in a year depending on the local temperature, oxic conditions, and concentrations of N₂O and NO_x⁻ (see Section 2.2.2. Inland-Water N₂O Dynamics for details).

With increasing riverine N delivery, retention in global inland waters increased from 7 to 27 Tg N yr^{-1,118} and the total N₂O production in global inland waters also increased from 0.17 to 0.70 Tg N yr⁻¹ (Figure 2). In 2010, the total production included 0.54 Tg N yr⁻¹ in the water column (almost entirely from nitrification) and 0.16 Tg N yr⁻¹ in sediments (almost entirely from denitrification).

3.3. Role of Different Waterbodies. 3.3.1. Streams and Rivers. During 1900–2010, the N₂O emission from low-order streams increased from 0.22 to 0.49 Tg N yr⁻¹ and dominated total inland-water N₂O emissions, but its contribution decreased from 58 to 39% (Figure 2). A large part of the emission from low-order streams stems from groundwater discharge, with the rest from in-stream production (Figure 3). This role of low-order streams as active sites of N₂O emissions may be related to their long water residence time¹¹⁹ and high biogeochemical potential.¹²⁰ Compared with the N₂O fate in low-order streams, in high-order streams, the role of groundwater input is smaller and that of in-stream production is more important. While the emission of high-order rivers increased from 0.12 to 0.25 Tg N yr⁻¹ during 1900–2010, its contribution to global inland-water emissions decreased from 33 to 20% (Figure 2).

The combined N_2O emissions from high-order rivers and low-order streams increased slowly before 1970, increased rapidly to 0.7 Tg N yr⁻¹ in the 1990s, and stabilized afterward. The changes during 1900–1970 were primarily related to the massive land-use changes, with 21% of global natural ecosystems transformed to agriculture, while after 1970, land-use change was less important (4% decline of natural area), but the intensification of agriculture was the main source

of N to global inland waters.¹²¹ Our estimates of riverine N₂O emissions are lower than those estimated by Seitzinger et al.^{56,60} for the 1990s (1.05 Tg N yr⁻¹), by Kroeze et al.⁵⁹ for the mid-1990s (1.26 Tg N yr⁻¹), and by IPCC^{57,122–124} for the year 1989 (1.6 Tg N yr⁻¹). The differences are primarily related to differences in N loadings because these earlier studies were based on estimated N inputs to global river basins exceeding our estimates by 56-84% (due to their not separating terrestrial from in-stream loss processes) and consequently they obtained higher emission estimates. However, our estimate $(0.67 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1})$ is similar to that of Beaulieu et al.⁵⁴ (0.68 Tg N yr⁻¹) for the mid-1990s. Our estimate is higher than a recent study $(0.07 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1})^{125}$ based on the upscaling of data for the period 1960-2015, and the difference may be due to ignoring the multidecadal increase therein. Yao et al.²⁵ reported lower N₂O emissions of $0.07 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$ in 1900 and $0.28 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$ in the 2000s for global rivers and streams, compared to our estimates of 0.35 and 0.67 Tg N yr⁻¹, respectively. While Yao et al.²⁵ presented N₂O inputs from groundwater discharge for the 2000s (0.39 Tg N yr⁻¹) similar to our estimate (0.43 Tg N yr⁻¹), their estimate of in-stream N₂O production in rivers and streams is much lower (0.05 versus our 0.25 Tg N yr⁻¹). This difference may be due to their lower rate of in-stream N2O production via nitrification (0.1%) compared to other studies^{54,56,113} and ignoring (1) several important N sources (floodplain vegetation, surface runoff from natural and agricultural ecosystems, some point sources, and aquaculture) to inland waters as substrate for in-stream N2O production, (2) N2O production in sediments, and (3) N_2O delivered by lakes and reservoirs connected to streams and rivers. Besides, their N₂O

pubs.acs.org/est



Figure 4. Spatial distributions of the total N_2O emissions (kg N yr⁻¹ per $0.5 \times 0.5^{\circ}$ grid) from global inland waters in (a) 1900 and (b) 2010.

consumption might be overestimated by using N_2O reduction rates observed in acidic wetlands for open inland waters. 25

3.3.2. Reservoirs and Lakes. The emission from reservoirs increased from negligible amounts in 1900 to 0.05 Tg N yr⁻¹ in the mid-1950s and accelerated to 0.45 Tg N yr⁻¹ in 2010. Its contribution to global inland-water emissions increased from 0.2% to 35% during 1900-2010, and reservoirs became the second largest N2O source (exceeding that of high-order rivers) since the early-1970s. The increase in reservoir emission (0.44 Tg N yr⁻¹) during 1900-2010 accounts for 50% of the total increase in inland-water emission (0.89 Tg N yr^{-1}), indicating that reservoirs are currently an important source of N₂O to the atmosphere. The rapid increase in the reservoir emission can be attributed to the increased number of reservoirs⁸⁰ as well as increased N₂O production in reservoirs (from ~0 to 0.35 Tg N yr $^{-1}$) and external N_2O inputs (from ~0 to 0.13 Tg N yr⁻¹). The increase in the reservoir N_2O production is the result of increased annual N2O-production rates via nitrification in the water column (by over 700-fold) and denitrification in reservoir sediments (by over 300-fold). As the global reservoir volume rapidly increased from the 1950s onwards (Figure S3a), the trapping of organic matter and accumulation in reservoir sediments also increased.⁷³ This enhanced the subsequent decay of the accumulated organic matter in reservoir sediments,¹¹⁸ which consumed ambient oxygen and created low-oxygen or even hypoxic conditions¹²⁶ favorable for incomplete nitrification and incomplete denitrification as well as associated N₂O formation.³⁷ This is reflected in the resulting rapid increase in N₂O production in global reservoirs in Figure 3a, which agrees with reports in the literature.^{29-37,127} Consequently, the fraction of the reservoir N₂O production in global inland-water N₂O production rapidly increased from 0.3% in 1900 to 40% in the early-1970s, exceeded that of high-order rivers and became the largest among all waterbodies since then, and reached 50% during 2000-2010.

During 1900–2010, the N₂O emission from lakes increased from 0.04 to 0.08 Tg N yr⁻¹ but their contribution to total inland-water emissions decreased from 9 to 7% (Figure 2). Lake N₂O emission is primarily supported by lake N₂O production (accounting for 70% on average), with the rest from external N₂O inputs (mainly from groundwater) (Figure 3). The combined N₂O emissions of 0.53 Tg N yr⁻¹ from lakes and reservoirs in 2010 are close to 0.63 Tg N yr⁻¹ estimated by Soued et al.⁶³ based on observations, but our estimate of 0.43 Tg N yr⁻¹ in 2000 is higher than 0.06 Tg N yr⁻¹ estimated in recent studies,^{65,66} possibly because they excluded N₂O from groundwater, increased reservoir volume, and enhanced N₂O formation under reservoirs' changed biogeochemical conditions, and they estimated N transformation fluxes much lower

than other studies (e.g., for nitrification, 17 Tg N yr⁻¹ by Maavara et al.⁶⁶ versus 42 Tg N yr⁻¹ by Seitzinger and Kroeze⁶⁰ and 46 Tg N yr⁻¹ in our study).

3.4. Spatial Patterns of Inland-Water N₂O Emissions. During the period 1900–2010, the N₂O emissions increased in most inland waters, with the largest increases in southern and eastern Asia (e.g., Yangtze, Pearl, Amur, Mekong, Salween, Ganges), Europe (e.g., Danube, Loire, Rhine, Rhone), and eastern North America (e.g., Mississippi, St. Lawrence, Missouri) (Figures 4 and S13) as a result of large increases in both groundwater N2O input and in situ N2O production (Figures S11 and S12), especially in and downstream of population centers, agricultural production regions, and coastal areas with increasing N loads. For example, the currently top three most populous countries China (eastern Asia), India (southern Asia), and USA (North America) had 3-fold to 4fold increases in their populations and 3-fold to 9-fold increases in their total N loads (dominated by agricultural sources) to surface waters during 1900-2010.¹⁰ This N₂O spatial pattern is consistent with the high-riverine-N2O areas predicted by Turner et al.¹⁸ and Yao et al.²⁵ Moreover, we find that large N₂O increases are related to reservoir construction areas (Figure 4).

Most of the increases in inland-water N_2O emissions from these areas mainly occurred after 1950 (Figures 2 and S13) due to massive land-use changes, rapidly developing and intensifying agriculture, larger reservoir volume particularly after 1950, and increasing wastewater discharge along with growing populations (Figure S3). For example, between 1950 and 2010, the global population nearly tripled, and the reservoir volume increased more than 10-fold (Figure S3). After 1990, the N₂O emissions in Europe, southeastern North America, tropical South America, Africa, and northern Asia stabilized or decreased, while those in eastern and southern Asia continued increasing rapidly (Figures 4 and S13).

Northern high-latitude regions (e.g., Greenland, Mackenzie, Nelson, and Albany rivers and downstream sectors of Ob' and Yenisey rivers) had low N₂O emissions and some acted even as N₂O sinks because of low water temperatures (limiting production¹⁰³ (Figure S12a,b) and increasing N₂O solubility¹¹²) and low N₂O and N (particularly NH₄⁺ and NO_x⁻) inputs to surface water (Figures S11 and S12). These patterns are consistent with reported N₂O sinks in boreal aquatic networks.^{62,63} Arid and semiarid river basins (e.g., Nile and Niger in Africa, inland lakes in Oceania, central and southwestern Asia, and western Americas), where wet deposition rates, groundwater discharge, surface runoff, and their N and N₂O deliveries are low and N₂O production is limited, also had low N₂O emissions (Figures S11 and S12).



Figure 5. Global N_2O budget along the aquatic continuum from land to sea in 2010 (in Tg N yr⁻¹). The blue and brown fonts indicate the net-N₂O-production estimates in the water column and sediment, respectively. NIT and DENIT indicate the net inland-water N_2O production through nitrification and denitrification in the water column, respectively, and BNIT and BDENIT indicate those through nitrification and denitrification in sediment, respectively.

3.5. N₂O Budget along the River Continuum. According to the overall freshwater N_2O budget (Figure 2) and their sensitivities to the key inputs and processes (Figure S10 and Text S4), inland waters are both conduits for the emission of groundwater N2O to the atmosphere and compartments of active in situ N2O production, which is elevated by the increasing anthropogenic N loading (Figure S2). This is consistent with previous reports for streams and rivers.^{16,54,70} Similar to the finding for freshwater methane emission,¹²⁸ we find that groundwater input to low-order streams is high and constitutes their major source of N2O emission to the atmosphere, while in high-order rivers, lakes, and reservoirs, inland-water production dominates (Figures 3 and S10 and Text S4). The increase in the global inland-water N_2O emission by 0.89 Tg N yr⁻¹ is balanced by increased N_2O inputs (0.38 Tg N yr⁻¹) from groundwater and increased N_2O production (0.53 Tg N yr⁻¹), mainly in reservoirs. Furthermore, the complete N₂O budget across different waterbodies along the aquatic continuum also reveals spatial inconsistencies between N2O emission and N2O inputs or production (Figures 3 and 5). This indicates that where N_2O is input or produced may not always be where N2O is emitted, and that inland systems may receive N2O from upstream or export N₂O to downstream waterbodies. For example, in 2010, N2O transport from low-order streams and reservoirs to downstream systems was 0.06 and 0.03 Tg N yr⁻¹, respectively, while high-order rivers and lakes vented N2O from upstream systems (0.05 and 0.001 Tg N yr⁻¹, respectively). The global inland-water N₂O input and production totaled 1.31 Tg N yr⁻¹ and were slightly higher than global emission $(1.27 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1})$ because 0.04 Tg N yr⁻¹ was exported as dissolved N₂O by rivers to coastal oceans.

This riverine export of dissolved N_2O increased from 0.02 to 0.04 Tg N yr⁻¹ during 1900–2010 and equaled 3–5% of the N_2O emission from inland waters (Table S4 and Figure 5). Rapid increases occurred during 1970–2000. Our estimates of river N_2O export are much lower than those estimated by regression-based models for the same years: our 0.025 Tg N versus 0.15 Tg N with global NEWS²⁶ for 1970, our 0.032 Tg N versus 0.22 Tg N with N-model⁶⁰ for 1990, our 0.033 Tg N

versus 0.25 Tg N with NEWS-DIN⁵⁹ for 1995, and our 0.035 Tg N versus the range of 0.1-0.6 Tg N yr⁻¹ with global NEWS²⁶ for 2000 (Table S4). These differences can be attributed to differences in the N load in rivers, as discussed before. However, our estimate for the year 2000 is closer to that of Yao et al.²⁵ for the 2000s (0.07 Tg N yr⁻¹).

3.6. Implications for Global N₂O Budget. Intensification of agriculture and industry has substantially elevated the biogeochemical cycling of N¹⁰⁻¹⁴ and increased the atmospheric concentration of N₂O globally.^{1,2,14} Using both bottom-up and top-down approaches, Tian et al.⁷ estimated that the global N₂O emissions increased from 15.5 (12.1–20.9) Tg N yr⁻¹ in the 1980s to 15.9 (12.2–21.7) Tg N yr⁻¹ in the 1990s, 15.9–16.4 (12.3–22.4) Tg N yr⁻¹ in the 2000s, and 16.9–17.0 (12.2–23.5) Tg N yr⁻¹ during 2007–2016, with rather constant emissions from inland waters, estuaries, and coastal waters of 0.7 (0.5–1.0) Tg N yr⁻¹ during the 1980s–2000s and a slight increase to 0.8 (0.5–1.1) Tg N yr⁻¹ during 2007–2016.^{1,7}

Our results indicate that N2O emissions from global inland waters increased from 0.93 to 1.27 Tg N yr⁻¹ during 1980-2010, accounting for 6-7% (ranging 4-12%) of the reported global total emissions during the same periods, equivalent to about one-third (22-75%) of that from agricultural soils (Table S5).^{3,7} In the latest simulated year (2010), inland waters contributed 7 (5-10)% to global N₂O emissions. Our N₂O emission estimates for inland waters are not only higher than those of IPCC AR6¹ but also show a steady increase. Assuming that inland-water N₂O emission in the early 1900s is "natural" and that its increase of 0.89 Tg N yr⁻¹ during 1900-2010 is "human-derived", the human-derived inland-water N₂O emission thus accounts for 12% (8-19%) of total anthropogenic sources to the atmospheric N2O during 2007-2016 estimated by Tian et al.⁷ Moreover, our estimates do not include emissions from estuarine and coastal waters.

Murray et al.¹²⁹ provided a bottom-up, data-based N₂Oemission estimate from coastal systems of 0.31 (ranging 0.15– 0.91) Tg N yr⁻¹. Coastal N₂O emission is supported by both river export of dissolved N₂O and production within the coastal zone. Assuming that the river export of dissolved N₂O to coastal waters is entirely vented to the atmosphere (0.04 Tg N yr⁻¹), then we estimate roughly by the difference that the emission due to coastal N₂O production is 0.27 Tg N yr⁻¹. Part of this coastal N₂O production is supported by natural riverine N and oceanic N inputs, while another part can be attributed to anthropogenic N inputs. This partitioning is beyond the scope of this study.

With a conservative assumption that coastal N₂O production has not been impacted by global warming, increasing hypoxia, and elevated N delivery via rivers and atmosphere, we estimate that N₂O emissions from global inland, estuarine, and coastal waters increased from 0.7 (0.5-1.3) to 1.6 (1.4-2.2) Tg N yr^{-1} during 1900–2010, and the emissions in 2010 imply a 9– 11% contribution to global total N₂O emissions during 2007-2016 (Table S5). Therefore, the considerable N_2O fluxes from inland, estuarine, and coastal waters (and their spatial and temporal variabilities) should be properly quantified and included in the global N2O budgets. Despite using different approaches, our estimate of 1.4 (1.3-2.0) Tg N yr⁻¹ in 1990 was close to that of Seitzinger and Kroeze⁶⁰ (1.3 Tg N yr⁻¹) for that period. However, our new estimate is higher than the 0.6 (0.1–2.9) Tg N yr⁻¹ reported by IPCC AR5³ and 0.8 (0.5-1.1) Tg N yr⁻¹ by Tian et al.⁷ and IPCC AR6,¹ possibly because of the consideration of the long-term changes in groundwater and reservoir contributions in this study.

The rapid increase in inland-water N₂O emissions is mainly attributed to increases in groundwater N2O discharge and reservoir N₂O production. The groundwater N₂O discharge is primarily related to N losses from agricultural land. The travel time of groundwater may range from years to decades and longer. Groundwater legacy¹³⁰ of N that entered the system from historical N management years to decades ago, and N2O formed in groundwater during transport, can reduce the efficiency of current efforts to mitigate human N₂O emissions. N₂O emissions from reservoirs are related to increasing N loading of rivers, increasing reservoir volume, and accumulation of organic-rich material in reservoirs that create conditions prone to N2O formation. Considering the continuing increase in N inputs to aquatic environments from human activities in many world regions,¹³¹ the groundwater legacy, and expected future dam construction¹³² and oxygen depletion in aging reservoirs, the increase in N2O emissions from inland waters will persist in the coming decades.

3.7. Future Improvements and Implications for Freshwater N₂O Research. This study provides an integrated, mechanistic view, and consistent quantification of the spatiotemporal changes in the global freshwater N2O budget in the Anthropocene, which can only be achieved with (i) a form-explicit N and N_2O process representation and (ii) spatially explicit and dynamic N₂O inputs and environmental forcings. The long-term simulations of IMAGE-DGNM show good agreement with available N, N2O, DO, and discharge observations since the 1920s, and the simulated global-scale N₂O-emission estimates agree with the results of some studies based on various approaches. The differences between our estimates and some recent studies can be explained by the differences in estimates of N inputs and inland-water process flows, representations of reservoir and groundwater contributions, and considerations of temporal changes and spatial heterogeneity.

Our model assessment and sensitivity analysis show that improvements in model input parameters and spatiotemporal

resolution could result in an improved description of inlandwater N₂O fluxes and better agreement with observations (Text S5). The sensitivity analysis clearly points to the importance of groundwater N2O input on the inland-water N2O-emission results, while groundwater N2O inputs are an important source of uncertainty in terms of their spatial distribution and temporal variability, which needs to be improved to provide more robust N2O quantifications and spatial distributions. Some studies (e.g., on urban rivers or lakes) report $f_{\rm N_2O}$ higher or lower than the 1% used for estimating inland-water N_2O production processes, $^{28,133-136}$ which indicates associated uncertainty. At some locations, the soil-produced N2O may enter groundwater and surface waters,¹³⁷ which may increase inland-water N₂O emissions but is not considered in this study. Moreover, IMAGE-DGNM estimates a smaller low-order stream area than refs 85 and 138, which may lead to an underestimation of low-order streams' contribution. A better description of the headwater and other waterbody hydrology, for instance from HydroSheds¹³⁹ and HydroLakes¹⁴⁰ data sets, will improve our inland-water N₂O estimate. Finally, this study simulates annual fluxes, while for many processes, it may be important to analyze fluxes at shorter time scales. A shorter (monthly or daily) time step would allow the simulation of seasonal and monthly N and N₂O fluxes to better understand their dynamics and feedback on human activities and climate change. Such an improved model would be instrumental in not only understanding the role of inland waters in the global N2O source-to-sink budget and N and carbon cycling, but also providing better information to policymakers for future climate projections and efficient emission-mitigation strategy development. Future research may need to take into account the spatial heterogeneity and long-term changes in the inland-water N₂O fate revealed in this study, for example, by monitoring inland-water N2O changes regularly in the long term and by combining modeling approaches with isotope measurements.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

③ Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.3c04230.

Summary of observational ratios of N2O production to groundwater denitrification; method of statistical comparison (RMSE) of observation and simulation; method and results of sensitivity analysis; uncertainty and limitations; global average N2O atmospheric concentration since 1750; N (of different forms) and N2O inputs to global inland waters from different sources; global reservoir volume, population, wastewater N discharge to surface water, gross production value of agriculture, manure production, and fertilizer use; IMAGE-DGNM scheme of water and nutrient flows in the soil-hydrosphere system; validation of long-term temporal patterns of water discharge, TN, and DO for different up-, mid-, and downstream sites covering different waterbodies in Mississippi since the 1930s; distribution of sites of observational data from data sets and literature for the validation of water discharge, TN, N_2O_1 and DO in global inland waters since the 1920s; validation: RMSE of simulations and observations for water discharge, TN, N₂O, and DO at monitoring sites in global inland waters for long time series since the

1920s; validation: comparison of simulated and observed N₂O concentrations per site per year in global inland waters since the 1970s; sensitivity results for variations in model parameters; spatial distributions of N₂O inputs to global inland waters in 1900 and 2010 simulated by IMAGE-DGNM; spatial distributions of N2O production and multiple-form N loads in global inland waters in 1900 and 2010 simulated by IMAGE-DGNM; temporal changes in spatial distributions of the global freshwater N₂O emissions during 1900-2010 simulated by IMAGE-DGNM; methodology and parameters for inland-water N2O dynamics in IMAGE-DGNM; summary of observation data from databases and literature used for comparison with model outputs of concentrations of TN, N2O, DO, and water discharge per variable per site per river basin in global inland waters for the period since the 1920s; comparison with reported estimates of global N2O emissions from inland waters; and contribution of inland waters to global N2O emissions in the 1980s, 1990s, 2000s, and 2010s (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Junjie Wang Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands; orcid.org/ 0000-0001-8235-0255; Phone: +31 687327811; Email: j.wang3@uu.nl
- Xiaochen Liu Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands; orcid.org/ 0000-0003-2973-8132; Email: x.liu@uu.nl

Authors

- Lauriane Vilmin Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands; Deltares, 2600 MH Delft, The Netherlands
- José M. Mogollón Department of Industrial Ecology, Leiden University, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands; @ orcid.org/ 0000-0002-7110-5470
- Arthur H. W. Beusen Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands; PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, 2500 GH The Hague, The Netherlands; orcid.org/0000-0003-0104-8615
- Wim J. van Hoek Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands
- Philip A. Pika Faculty of Science, Earth and Climate, Free University of Amsterdam, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Jack J. Middelburg Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands; orcid.org/ 0000-0003-3601-9072
- Alexander F. Bouwman Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c04230

Author Contributions

J.W. and L.V. developed the DISC-NITROGEN module including N_2O dynamics, J.W. ran the model simulations and conducted data analysis, J.W., L.V., J.J.M., and A.F.B. prepared the manuscript, A.H.W.B., J.M.M., and L.V. developed the DISC framework, W.J.V.H., X.L., and P.A.P. contributed to

model components, and A.F.B., J.J.M., J.W., and L.V. designed the study. All coauthors reviewed and commented on the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Earth and Life Sciences (ALW) Open Programme 2016 project no. ALWOP.230 financed by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) (J.W. and L.V.), the Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture and Science through the Netherlands Earth System Science Center (NESSC) (J.W. and J.J.M.), PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency through inkind contributions to The New Delta 2014 ALW projects no. 869.15.015 and no. 869.15.014 (A.F.B. and A.H.W.B.), and the European Research Council Starting Grant (THAWSOME) no. 676982 (P.A.P.).

REFERENCES

(1) IPCC. Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2021.

(2) WMO. Greenhouse Gas Bulletin No.17: The State of Greenhouse Gases in the Atmosphere Based on Global Observations through 2020; World Meteorological Organization, 2021.

(3) IPCC. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis: Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.

(4) Firestone, M. K.; Firestone, R. B.; Tiedje, J. M. Nitrous oxide from soil denitrification: factors controlling its biological production. *Science* **1980**, *208*, 749–751.

(5) Yoshida, T.; Alexander, M. Nitrous oxide formation by Nitrosomonas europaea and heterotrophic microorganisms. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **1970**, *34*, 880–882.

(6) Bremner, J. M.; Blackmer, A. M. Nitrous oxide: emission from soils during nitrification of fertilizer nitrogen. *Science* **1978**, *199*, 295–296.

(7) Tian, H.; Xu, R.; Canadell, J. G.; Thompson, R. L.; Winiwarter, W.; Suntharalingam, P.; Davidson, E. A.; Ciais, P.; Jackson, R. B.; Janssens-Maenhout, G.; Prather, M. J.; Regnier, P.; Pan, N.; Pan, S.; Peters, G. P.; Shi, H.; Tubiello, F. N.; Zaehle, S.; Zhou, F.; Arneth, A.; Battaglia, G.; Berthet, S.; Bopp, L.; Bouwman, A. F.; Buitenhuis, E. T.; Chang, J.; Chipperfield, M. P.; Dangal, S. R. S.; Dlugokencky, E.; Elkins, J. W.; Eyre, B. D.; Fu, B.; Hall, B.; Ito, A.; Joos, F.; Krummel, P. B.; Landolfi, A.; Laruelle, G. G.; Lauerwald, R.; Li, W.; Lienert, S.; Maavara, T.; MacLeod, M.; Millet, D. B.; Olin, S.; Patra, P. K.; Prinn, R. G.; Raymond, P. A.; Ruiz, D. J.; van der Werf, G. R.; Vuichard, N.; Wang, J.; Weiss, R. F.; Wells, K. C.; Wilson, C.; Yang, J.; Yao, Y. A comprehensive quantification of global nitrous oxide sources and sinks. *Nature* 2020, *586*, 248–256.

(8) Garnier, J. A.; Mounier, E. M.; Laverman, A. M.; Billen, G. F. Potential denitrification and nitrous oxide production in the sediments of the Seine River drainage network (France). *J. Environ. Qual.* **2010**, *39*, 449–459.

(9) Rosamond, M. S.; Thuss, S. J.; Schiff, S. L. Dependence of riverine nitrous oxide emissions on dissolved oxygen levels. *Nat. Geosci.* 2012, *5*, 715–718.

(10) Beusen, A. H. W.; Bouwman, A. F.; Van Beek, L. P. H.; Mogollón, J. M.; Middelburg, J. J. Global riverine N and P transport to ocean increased during the 20th century despite increased retention along the aquatic continuum. *Biogeosciences* **2016**, *13*, 2441–2451.

(11) Seitzinger, S. P.; Harrison, J. A.; Dumont, E.; Beusen, A. H. W.; Bouwman, A. F. Sources and delivery of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus to the coastal zone: An overview of Global Nutrient Export from Watersheds (NEWS) models and their application. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **2005**, *19*, No. GB4S01.

(12) Galloway, J. N.; Townsend, A. R.; Erisman, J. W.; Bekunda, M.; Cai, Z.; Freney, J. R.; Martinelli, L. A.; Seitzinger, S.; Sutton, M. A. Transformation of the nitrogen cycle: Recent trends, questions, and potential solutions. *Science* **2008**, *320*, 889–892.

(13) Fowler, D.; Coyle, M.; Skiba, U.; Sutton, M. A.; Cape, J. N.; Reis, S.; Sheppard, L. J.; Jenkins, A.; Grizzetti, B.; Galloway, J. N.; Vitousek, P.; Leach, A.; Bouwman, A. F.; Butterbach-Bahl, K.; Dentener, F.; Stevenson, D.; Amann, M.; Voss, M. The global nitrogen cycle in the twenty-first century. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., B* **2013**, *368*, No. 20130164.

(14) Vitousek, P. M.; Mooney, H. A.; Lubchenco, J.; Melillo, J. M. Human domination of Earth's ecosystems. *Science* **1997**, 277, 494–499.

(15) Outram, F. N.; Hiscock, K. M. Indirect nitrous oxide emissions from surface water bodies in a lowland arable catchment: a significant contribution to agricultural greenhouse gas budgets? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 8156–8163.

(16) Billen, G.; Garnier, J.; Grossel, A.; Thieu, V.; Théry, S.; Hénault, C. Modeling indirect N_2O emissions along the N cascade from cropland soils to rivers. *Biogeochemistry* **2020**, *148*, 207–221.

(17) Garnier, J.; Billen, G.; Vilain, G.; Martinez, A.; Silvestre, M.; Mounier, E.; Toche, F. Nitrous oxide (N_2O) in the Seine river and basin: Observations and budgets. *Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.* **2009**, *133*, 223–233.

(18) Turner, P. A.; Griffis, T. J.; Lee, X.; Baker, J. M.; Venterea, R. T.; Wood, J. D. Indirect nitrous oxide emissions from streams within the US Corn Belt scale with stream order. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2015**, *112*, 9839–9843.

(19) Marzadri, A.; Tonina, D.; Bellin, A.; Tank, J. L. A hydrologic model demonstrates nitrous oxide emissions depend on streambed morphology. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2014**, *41*, 5484–5491.

(20) Marzadri, A.; Dee, M. M.; Tonina, D.; Bellin, A.; Tank, J. L. Role of surface and subsurface processes in scaling N_2O emissions along riverine networks. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2017**, *114*, 4330.

(21) Baulch, H. M.; Schiff, S. L.; Maranger, R.; Dillon, P. J. Nitrogen enrichment and the emission of nitrous oxide from streams. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **2011**, *25*, No. 004047.

(22) Yan, W.; Yang, L.; Wang, F.; Wang, J.; Ma, P. Riverine N_2O concentrations, exports to estuary and emissions to atmosphere from the Changjiang River in response to increasing nitrogen loads. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **2012**, *26*, No. 003984.

(23) Hu, M.; Chen, D.; Dahlgren, R. A. Modeling nitrous oxide emission from rivers: a global assessment. *Glob. Change Biol.* **2016**, *22*, 3566–3582.

(24) Cole, J. J.; Caraco, N. F. Emissions of nitrous oxide (N_2O) from a tidal, freshwater river, the Hudson River, New York. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2001**, 35, 991–996.

(25) Yao, Y.; Tian, H.; Shi, H.; Pan, S.; Xu, R.; Pan, N.; Canadell, J. G. Increased global nitrous oxide emissions from streams and rivers in the Anthropocene. *Nat. Clim. Change* **2020**, *10*, 138–142.

(26) Kroeze, C.; Dumont, E.; Seitzinger, S. Future trends in emissions of N_2O from rivers and estuaries. *J. Integr. Environ. Sci.* **2010**, 7, 71–78.

(27) Kroeze, C.; Seitzinger, S. P. Nitrogen inputs to rivers, estuaries and continental shelves and related nitrous oxide emissions in 1990 and 2050: a global model. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* **1998**, *52*, 195–212.

(28) Wang, F.; Yu, Q.; Yan, W.; Tian, S.; Zhang, P.; Wang, J. Basinscale control on N_2O loss rate and emission in the Changjiang River network, China. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **2022**, *9*, No. 1025912.

(29) Beaulieu, J. J.; Smolenski, R. L.; Nietch, C. T.; Townsend-Small, A.; Elovitz, M. S.; Schubauer-Berigan, J. P. Denitrification alternates between a source and sink of nitrous oxide in the hypolimnion of a thermally stratified reservoir. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **2014**, *59*, 495–506.

(30) Deemer, B. R.; Harrison, J. A.; Whitling, E. W. Microbial dinitrogen and nitrous oxide production in a small eutrophic

reservoir: An in situ approach to quantifying hypolimnetic process rates. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **2011**, *56*, 1189–1199.

(31) Chen, N.; Chen, Z.; Wu, Y.; Hu, A. Understanding gaseous nitrogen removal through direct measurement of dissolved N_2 and N_2O in a subtropical river-reservoir system. *Ecol. Eng.* **2014**, *70*, 56–67.

(32) Liu, X.; Liu, C.; Li, S.; Wang, F.; Wang, B.; Wang, Z. Spatiotemporal variations of nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions from two reservoirs in SW China. *Atmos. Environ.* **2011**, *45*, 5458–5468.

(33) Liu, T.; Wang, X.; Yuan, X.; Gong, X.; Hou, C.; Yang, H. Review on N_2O emission from lakes and reservoirs. *J. Lake Sci.* 2019, 31, 319–335.

(34) Guérin, F.; Abril, G.; Tremblay, A.; Delmas, R. Nitrous oxide emissions from tropical hydroelectric reservoirs. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2008**, 35, No. GB4007.

(35) Li, S.; Bush, R. T.; Santos, I. R.; Zhang, Q.; Song, K.; Mao, R.; Wen, Z.; Lu, X. Large greenhouse gases emissions from China's lakes and reservoirs. *Water Res.* **2018**, *147*, 13–24.

(36) Sturm, K.; Yuan, Z.; Gibbes, B.; Werner, U.; Grinham, A. Methane and nitrous oxide sources and emissions in a subtropical freshwater reservoir, south east Queensland, Australia. *Biogeosciences* **2014**, *11*, 5245–5258.

(37) Maavara, T.; Chen, Q.; Van Meter, K.; Brown, L. E.; Zhang, J.; Ni, J.; Zarfl, C. River dam impacts on biogeochemical cycling. *Nat. Rev. Earth Environ.* **2020**, *1*, 103–116.

(38) Ciais, P.; Sabine, C.; Bala, G.; Bopp, L.; Brovkin, V.; Canadell, K.; Chhabra, A.; DeFries, R.; Galloway, J.; Heimann, M.; Jones, C.; Le Quéré, C.; Myneni, R. B.; Piao, S.; Thornton, P. Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles. In *Climate Change* 2013: *The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*; Stocker, T. F.; Qin, D.; Plattner, G.-K.; Tignor, M.; Allen, S. K.; Boschung, J.; Nauels, A.; Xia, Y.; Bex, V.; Midgley, P. M., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013; pp 465–570.

(39) Yu, Z.; Deng, H.; Wang, D.; Ye, M.; Tan, Y.; Li, Y.; Chen, Z.; Xu, S. Nitrous oxide emissions in the Shanghai river network: Implications for the effects of urban sewage and IPCC methodology. *Glob. Change Biol.* **2013**, *19*, 2999–3010.

(40) Zhao, J.; Zhang, G.; Wu, Y.; Zhang, J. Distribution and emission of nitrous oxide from the Changjiang River. *Acta Sci. Circumstantiae* **2009**, *29*, 1995–2002.

(41) Beaulieu, J. J.; Shuster, W. D.; Rebholz, J. A. Nitrous oxide emissions from a large, impounded river: The Ohio river. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44*, 7527–7533.

(42) Cébron, A.; Garnier, J.; Billen, G. Nitrous oxide production and nitrification kinetics by natural bacterial communities of the lower Seine river (France). *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* **2005**, *41*, 25–38.

(43) Clough, T. J.; Buckthought, L. E.; Casciotti, K. L.; Kelliher, F. M.; Jones, P. K. Nitrous oxide dynamics in a braided river system, New Zealand. *J. Environ. Qual.* **2011**, *40*, 1532–1541.

(44) Hemond, H. F.; Duran, A. P. Fluxes of N_2O at the sedimentwater and water-atmosphere boundaries of a nitrogen-rich river. *Water Resour. Res.* **1989**, 25, 839–846.

(45) McMahon, P. B.; Dennehy, K. F. N_2O emissions from a nitrogen-enriched river. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, 33, 21–25.

(46) Mwanake, R. M.; Gettel, G. M.; Aho, K. S.; Namwaya, D. W.; Masese, F. O.; Butterbach-Bahl, K.; Raymond, P. A. Land use, not stream order, controls N_2O concentration and flux in the upper Mara River basin, Kenya. *J. Geophys. Res.: Biogeosci.* **2019**, 124, 3491–3506. (47) Xiong, Z.; Xing, G.; Shen, G.; Shi, S.; Du, L. Dissolved N_2O

concentrations and N_2O emissions from aquatic systems of lake and river in Taihu Lake region. *Environ. Sci.* 2002, 23, 26–30.

(48) Mengis, M.; Gächter, R.; Wehrli, B. Sources and sinks of nitrous oxide (N_2O) in deep lakes. *Biogeochemistry* **1997**, 38, 281–301.

(49) Wang, S.; Liu, C.; Yeager, K. M.; Wan, G.; Li, J.; Tao, F.; Lü, Y.; Liu, F.; Fan, C. The spatial distribution and emission of nitrous oxide (N_2O) in a large eutrophic lake in eastern China: Anthropogenic effects. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2009**, 407, 3330–3337.

(50) Yuan, S.; Wang, W. Characteristics of nitrous oxide (N_2O) emission from a headstream in the upper Taihu Lake Basin. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* **2012**, 32, 6279–6288.

(51) Senga, Y.; Seike, Y.; Mochida, K.; Fujinaga, K.; Okumura, M. Nitrous oxide in brackish Lakes Shinji and Nakaumi, Japan. *Limnology* **2001**, *2*, 129–136.

(52) Vincent, W. F.; Downes, M. T.; Vincent, C. L. Nitrous oxide cycling in Lake Vanda, Antarctica. *Nature* **1981**, 292, 618–620.

(53) Kumar, A.; Sharma, M. P. Estimation of green house gas emissions from Koteshwar hydropower reservoir, India. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **2017**, *189*, 240.

(54) Beaulieu, J. J.; Tank, J. L.; Hamilton, S. K.; Wollheim, W. M.; Hall, R. O., Jr; Mulholland, P. J.; Peterson, B. J.; Ashkenas, L. R.; Cooper, L. W.; Dahm, C. N.; Dodds, W. K.; Grimm, N. B.; Johnson, S. L.; McDowell, W. H.; Poole, G. C.; Maurice Valett, H.; Arango, C. P.; Bernot, M. J.; Burgin, A. J.; Crenshaw, C. L.; Helton, A. M.; Johnson, L. T.; O'Brien, J. M.; Potter, J. D.; Sheibley, R. W.; Sobota, D. J.; Thomas, S. M. Nitrous oxide emission from denitrification in stream and river networks. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2011**, *108*, 214–219.

(55) Reay, D. S.; Smith, K.; Edwards, A.; Hiscock, K.; Dong, L.; Nedwell, D. Indirect nitrous oxide emissions: Revised emission factors. *Environ. Sci.* **2005**, *2*, 153–158.

(56) Seitzinger, S. P.; Kroeze, C.; Styles, R. V. Global distribution of N_2O emissions from aquatic systems: natural emissions and anthropogenic effects. *Chemosphere* **2000**, *2*, 267–279.

(57) Mosier, A.; Kroeze, C.; Nevison, C.; Oenema, O.; Seitzinger, S.; van Cleemput, O. Closing the global N_2O budget: nitrous oxide emissions through the agricultural nitrogen cycle. *Nutr. Cycl.* Agroecosyst. **1998**, *52*, 225–248.

(58) Well, R.; Weymann, D.; Flessa, H. Recent research progress on the significance of aquatic systems for indirect agricultural N_2O emissions. *Environ. Sci.* **2005**, *2*, 143–151.

(59) Kroeze, C.; Dumont, E.; Seitzinger, S. P. New estimates of global emissions of N_2O from rivers and estuaries. *Environ. Sci.* 2005, 2, 159–165.

(60) Seitzinger, S. P.; Kroeze, C. Global distribution of nitrous oxide production and N inputs in freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **1998**, *12*, 93–113.

(61) Kroeze, C.; Seitzinger, S. P.; Domingues, R. Future trends in worldwide river nitrogen transport and related nitrous oxide emissions: a scenario analysis. Optimizing Nitrogen Management in Food and energy Production and Environmental Protection: Proceedings of the 2nd International Nitrogen Conference on Science and Policy. *Sci. World J.* **2001**, *1*, 328–335.

(62) Webb, J. R.; Hayes, N. M.; Simpson, G. L.; Leavitt, P. R.; Baulch, H. M.; Finlay, K. Widespread nitrous oxide undersaturation in farm waterbodies creates an unexpected greenhouse gas sink. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2019**, *116*, 9814.

(63) Soued, C.; del Giorgio, P. A.; Maranger, R. Nitrous oxide sinks and emissions in boreal aquatic networks in Québec. *Nat. Geosci.* **2016**, *9*, 116–120.

(64) Ivens, W. P. M. F.; Tysmans, D. J. J.; Kroeze, C.; Löhr, A. J.; van Wijnen, J. Modeling global N_2O emissions from aquatic systems. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.* **2011**, *3*, 350–358.

(65) Lauerwald, R.; Regnier, P.; Figueiredo, V.; Enrich-Prast, A.; Bastviken, D.; Lehner, B.; Maavara, T.; Raymond, P. Natural lakes are a minor global source of N_2O to the atmosphere. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **2019**, 33, 1564–1581.

(66) Maavara, T.; Lauerwald, R.; Laruelle, G. G.; Akbarzadeh, Z.; Bouskill, N. J.; Van Cappellen, P.; Regnier, P. Nitrous oxide emissions from inland waters: Are IPCC estimates too high? *Glob. Change Biol.* **2019**, *25*, 473–488.

(67) Lauerwald, R.; Allen, G. H.; Deemer, B. R.; Liu, S.; Maavara, T.; Raymond, P.; Alcott, L.; Bastviken, D.; Hastie, A.; Holgerson, M. A.; Johnson, M. S.; Lehner, B.; Lin, P.; Marzadri, A.; Ran, L.; Tian, H.; Yang, X.; Yao, Y.; Regnier, P. Inland water greenhouse gas budgets for

RECCAP2: 1. state-of-the-art of global scale assessments. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **2023**, *37*, No. e2022GB007657.

(68) Bowden, W. B.; Bormann, F. W. Transport and loss of nitrous oxide in soil water after forest clear cutting. *Science* **1986**, 233, 867–869.

(69) Lemon, E.; Lemon, D. Nitrous oxide in fresh waters of the Great Lakes Basin. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **1981**, *26*, 867–879.

(70) Ronen, D.; Magaritz, M.; Almon, E. Contaminated aquifers are a forgotten component of the global N_2O budget. *Nature* **1988**, 335, 57–59.

(71) Vilmin, L.; Mogollón, J. M.; Beusen, A. H. W.; van Hoek, W. J.; Liu, X.; Middelburg, J. J.; Bouwman, A. F. Modeling process-based biogeochemical dynamics in surface fresh waters of large watersheds with the IMAGE-DGNM framework. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* **2020**, *12*, No. e2019MS001796.

(72) Liu, X.; van Hoek, W. J.; Vilmin, L.; Beusen, A. H. W.; Mogollon, J. M.; Middelburg, J. J.; Bouwman, A. F. Exploring longterm changes in silicon biogeochemistry along the river continuum of the Rhine and Yangtze (Changjiang). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54*, 11940–11950.

(73) van Hoek, W. J.; Wang, J.; Vilmin, L.; Beusen, A. H. W.; Mogollón, J. M.; Müller, G.; Pika, P. A.; Liu, X.; Langeveld, J. J.; Bouwman, A. F.; Middelburg, J. J. Exploring spatially explicit changes in carbon budgets of global river basins during the 20th century. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *55*, 16757–16769.

(74) Vilmin, L.; Bouwman, A. F.; Beusen, A. H. W.; van Hoek, W. J.; Mogollón, J. M. Past anthropogenic activities offset dissolved inorganic phosphorus retention in the Mississippi River basin. *Biogeochemistry* **2022**, *161*, 157–169.

(75) Stehfest, E.; Van Vuuren, D. P.; Kram, T.; Bouwman, A. F. Integrated Assessment of Global Environmental Change with IMAGE 3.0. Model Description and Policy Applications; PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency: The Hague, 2014.

(76) Van Beek, L. P. H.; Wada, Y.; Bierkens, M. F. P. Global monthly water stress: 1. Water balance and water availability. *Water Resour. Res.* 2011, 47, No. W07517.

(77) Sutanudjaja, E. H.; Van Beek, R.; Wanders, N.; Wada, Y.; Bosmans, J. H. C.; Drost, N.; Van Der Ent, R. J.; De Graaf, I. E. M.; Hoch, J. M.; De Jong, K.; Karssenberg, D.; López López, P.; Peßenteiner, S.; Schmitz, O.; Straatsma, M. W.; Vannametee, E.; Wisser, D.; Bierkens, M. F. P. PCR-GLOBWB 2: A 5 arcmin global hydrological and water resources model. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **2018**, *11*, 2429–2453.

(78) Döll, P.; Lehner, B. Validation of a new global 30-min drainage direction map. *J. Hydrol.* **2002**, *258*, 214–231.

(79) Lehner, B.; Döll, P. Development and validation of a global database of lakes, reservoirs and wetlands. *J. Hydrol.* **2004**, *296*, 1–22.

(80) Lehner, B.; Reidy Liermann, C.; Revenga, C.; Vörösmarty, C.; Fekete, B.; Crouzet, P.; Döll, P.; Endejan, M.; Frenken, K.; Magome, J.; Nilsson, C.; Robertson, J. C.; Rödel, R.; Sindorf, N.; Wisser, D. High-resolution mapping of the world's reservoirs and dams for sustainable river-flow management. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **2011**, *9*, 494–502.

(81) Wollheim, W. M.; Vörösmarty, C. J.; Bouwman, A. F.; Green, P.; Harrison, J.; Linder, E.; Peterson, B. J.; Seitzinger, S. P.; Syvitski, J. M. Global N removal by freshwater aquatic systems using a spatially distributed, within-basin approach. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **2008**, 22, No. GB2026.

(82) Beusen, A. H. W.; Van Beek, L. P. H.; Bouwman, A. F.; Mogollón, J. M.; Middelburg, J. J. Coupling global models for hydrology and nutrient loading to simulate nitrogen and phosphorus retention in surface water. Description of IMAGE-GNM and analysis of performance. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **2015**, *8*, 4045–4067.

(83) Tangdamrongsub, N.; Steele-Dunne, S. C.; Gunter, B. C.; Ditmar, P. G.; Sutanudjaja, E. H.; Sun, Y.; Xia, T.; Wang, Z. Improving estimates of water resources in a semi-arid region by assimilating GRACE data into the PCR-GLOBWB hydrological model. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 2017, 21, 2053–2074.

(84) Lehner, B.; Verdin, K.; Jarvis, A. New global hydrography derived from spaceborne elevation data. *Eos Trans., Am. Geophys. Union* **2008**, *89*, 93–94.

(85) Raymond, P. A.; Hartmann, J.; Lauerwald, R.; Sobek, S.; McDonald, C.; Hoover, M.; Butman, D.; Striegl, R.; Mayorga, E.; Humborg, C.; Kortelainen, P.; Dürr, H.; Meybeck, M.; Ciais, P.; Guth, P. Global carbon dioxide emissions from inland waters. *Nature* **2013**, 503, 355–359.

(86) Zheng, Y.; Wu, S.; Xiao, S.; Yu, K.; Fang, X.; Xia, L.; Wang, J.; Liu, S.; Freeman, C.; Zou, J. Global methane and nitrous oxide emissions from inland waters and estuaries. *Glob. Change Biol.* **2022**, *28*, 4713–4725.

(87) Vilmin, L.; Mogollón, J. M.; Beusen, A. H. W.; Bouwman, A. F. Forms and subannual variability of nitrogen and phosphorus loading to global river networks over the 20th century. *Glob. Planet. Change* **2018**, *163*, 67–85.

(88) Cerdan, O.; Govers, G.; Le Bissonnais, Y.; Van Oost, K.; Poesen, J.; Saby, N.; Gobin, A.; Vacca, A.; Quinton, J.; Auerswald, K.; Klik, A.; Kwaad, F. J. P. M.; Raclot, D.; Ionita, I.; Rejman, J.; Rousseva, S.; Muxart, T.; Roxo, M. J.; Dostal, T. Rates and spatial variations of soil erosion in Europe: A study based on erosion plot data. *Geomorphology* **2010**, *122*, 167–177.

(89) Well, R.; Flessa, H.; Jaradat, F.; Toyoda, S.; Yoshida, N. Measurement of isotopomer signatures of N_2O in groundwater. *J. Geophys. Res.: Biogeosci.* **2005**, *110*, No. G000044.

(90) Deurer, M.; von der Heide, C.; Bottcher, J.; Duijnisveld, W. H. M.; Weymann, D.; Well, R. The dynamics of N_2O near the groundwater table and the transfer of N_2O into the unsaturated zone: A case study from a sandy aquifer in Germany. *Catena* **2008**, *72*, 362–373.

(91) von der Heide, C.; Böttcher, J.; Deurer, M.; Weymann, D.; Well, R.; Duijnisveld, W. H. M. Spatial variability of N_2O concentrations and of denitrification-related factors in the surficial groundwater of a catchment in Northern Germany. *J. Hydrol.* **2008**, 360, 230–241.

(92) Jurado, A.; Borges, A. V.; Brouyère, S. Dynamics and emissions of N_2O in groundwater: A review. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2017**, 584–585, 207–218.

(93) Weller, D. E.; Correll, D. L.; Jordan, T. E. Denitrification in Riparian Forests Receiving Agricultural Discharges. In *Global Wetlands: Old World and New*; Elsevier Science B.V., 1994; pp 117–131.

(94) Groffman, P. M.; Gold, A. J.; Jacinthe, P. A. Nitrous oxide production in riparian zones and groundwater. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* **1998**, 52, 179–186.

(95) Groffman, P. M.; Gold, A. J.; Addy, K. Nitrous oxide production in riparian zones and its importance to national emission inventories. *Chemosphere* **2000**, *2*, 291–299.

(96) Jahangir, M. M. R.; Johnston, P.; Khalil, M. I.; Hennessy, D.; Humphreys, J.; Fenton, O.; Richards, K. G. Groundwater: A pathway for terrestrial C and N losses and indirect greenhouse gas emissions. *Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.* **2012**, *159*, 40–48.

(97) Spalding, R. F.; Parrott, J. D. Shallow groundwater denitrification. *Sci. Total Environ.* **1994**, *141*, 17–25.

(98) Liu, X.; Beusen, A. H. W.; Van Beek, L. P. H.; Mogollón, J. M.; Ran, X.; Bouwman, A. F. Exploring spatiotemporal changes of the Yangtze River (Changjiang) nitrogen and phosphorus sources, retention and export to the East China Sea and Yellow Sea. *Water Res.* 2018, 142, 246–255.

(99) Bouwman, A. F.; Beusen, A. H. W.; Griffioen, J.; Van Groenigen, J. W.; Hefting, M. M.; Oenema, O.; Van Puijenbroek, P. J. T. M.; Seitzinger, S.; Slomp, C. P.; Stehfest, E. Global trends and uncertainties in terrestrial denitrification and N_2O emissions. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., B* **2013**, 368, No. 20130112.

(100) Zhou, W.; Ma, Y.; Well, R.; Wang, H.; Yan, X. Denitrification in shallow groundwater below different arable land systems in a high nitrogen-loading region. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* **2018**, *123*, 991–1004.

(101) Mookherji, S.; McCarty, G. W.; Angier, J. T. Dissolved gas analysis for assessing the fate of nitrate in wetlands. *J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc.* 2003, *39*, 381–387.

(102) Fox, R. J.; Fisher, T. R.; Gustafson, A. B.; Jordan, T. E.; Kana, T. M.; Lang, M. W. Searching for the missing nitrogen: biogenic nitrogen gases in groundwater and streams. *J. Agric. Sci.* **2014**, *152*, 96–106.

(103) Gardner, J. R.; Fisher, T. R.; Jordan, T. E.; Knee, K. L. Balancing watershed nitrogen budgets: accounting for biogenic gases in streams. *Biogeochemistry* **2016**, *127*, 231–253.

(104) Jahangir, M. M.; Johnston, P.; Barrett, M.; Khalil, M. I.; Groffman, P. M.; Boeckx, P.; Fenton, O.; Murphy, J.; Richards, K. G. Denitrification and indirect N_2O emissions in groundwater: hydrologic and biogeochemical influences. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* **2013**, *152*, 70–81.

(105) Weymann, D.; Well, R.; Flessa, H.; von der Heide, C.; Deurer, M.; Meyer, K.; Konrad, C.; Walther, W. Groundwater N_2O emission factors of nitrate-contaminated aquifers as derived from denitrification progress and N_2O accumulation. *Biogeosciences* **2008**, *5*, 1215–1226. (106) Weymann, D.; Geistlinger, H.; Well, R.; von der Heide, C.; Flessa, H. Kinetics of N_2O production and reduction in a nitrate-

contaminated aquifer inferred from laboratory incubation experiments. *Biogeosciences* **2010**, *7*, 1953–1972.

(107) Well, R.; Eschenbach, W.; Flessa, H.; von der Heide, C.; Weymann, D. Are dual isotope and isotopomer ratios of N_2O useful indicators for N_2O turnover during denitrification in nitrate-contaminated aquifers? *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2012**, *90*, 265–282.

(108) Well, R.; Augustin, J.; Meyer, K.; Myrold, D. D. Comparison of field and laboratory measurement of denitrification and N_2O production in the saturated zone of hydromorphic soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2003**, 35, 783–799.

(109) McAleer, E. B.; Coxon, C. E.; Richards, K. G.; Jahangir, M. M. R.; Grant, J.; Mellander, P. E. Groundwater nitrate reduction versus dissolved gas production: A tale of two catchments. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2017**, *586*, 372–389.

(110) Well, R.; Augustin, J.; Davis, J.; Griffith, S. M.; Meyer, K.; Myrold, D. D. Production and transport of denitrification gases in shallow groundwater. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* **2001**, *60*, 65–75.

(111) Mander, Ü.; Well, R.; Weymann, D.; Soosaar, K.; Maddison, M.; Kanal, A.; Lõhmus, K.; Truu, J.; Augustin, J.; Tournebize, J. Isotopologue ratios of N_2O and N_2 measurements underpin the importance of denitrification in differently N-loaded riparian alder forests. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, 11910–11918.

(112) Weiss, R. F.; Price, B. A. Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater. *Mar. Chem.* **1980**, *8*, 347–359.

(113) Garnier, J.; Billen, G.; Cébron, A. Modelling nitrogen transformations in the lower Seine River and estuary (France): Impact of wastewater release on oxygenation and N_2O emission. *Hydrobiologia* **2007**, *588*, 291–302.

(114) Alin, S. R.; Rasera, M. d. F. F. L.; Salimon, C. I.; Richey, J. E.; Holtgrieve, G. W.; Krusche, A. V.; Snidvongs, A. Physical controls on carbon dioxide transfer velocity and flux in low-gradient river systems and implications for regional carbon budgets. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2011, *116*, No. GB3002.

(115) Wanninkhof, R. Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean. J. Geophys. Res. **1992**, 97, 7373–7382.

(116) Barthel, M.; Bauters, M.; Baumgartner, S.; Drake, T. W.; Bey, N. M.; Bush, G.; Boeckx, P.; Botefa, C. I.; Dériaz, N.; Ekamba, G. L.; Gallarotti, N.; Mbayu, F. M.; Mugula, J. K.; Makelele, I. A.; Mbongo, C. E.; Mohn, J.; Mandea, J. Z.; Mpambi, D. M.; Ntaboba, L. C.; Rukeza, M. B.; Spencer, R. G. M.; Summerauer, L.; Vanlauwe, B.; Van Oost, K.; Wolf, B.; Six, J. Low N₂O and variable CH₄ fluxes from tropical forest soils of the Congo Basin. *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, No. 330.

(117) Borges, A. V.; Darchambeau, F.; Lambert, T.; Morana, C.; Allen, G. H.; Tambwe, E.; Toengaho Sembaito, A.; Mambo, T.; Nlandu Wabakhangazi, J.; Descy, J. P.; Teodoru, C. R.; Bouillon, S. Variations in dissolved greenhouse gases (CO₂, CH₄, N₂O) in the (118) Wang, J.; Bouwman, A. F.; Vilmin, L.; Mogollón, J. M.; Beusen, A. H. W.; van Hoek, W. J.; Liu, X.; Pika, P. A. Accelerated Nitrogen Cycle in Global River Basins in the Anthropocene, AGU Fall Meeting 2022, 2022.

(119) Peterson, B. J.; Wollheim, W. M.; Mulholland, P. J.; Webster, J. R.; Meyer, J. L.; Tank, J. L.; Martí, E.; Bowden, W. B.; Valett, H. M.; Hershey, A. E.; McDowell, W. H.; Dodds, W. K.; Hamilton, S. K.; Gregory, S.; Morrall, D. D. Control of Nitrogen Export from Watersheds by Headwater Streams. *Science* **2001**, *292*, 86–90.

(120) Gomez-Velez, J. D.; Harvey, J. W. A hydrogeomorphic river network model predicts where and why hyporheic exchange is important in large basins. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2014**, *41*, 6403–6412.

(121) Bouwman, A. F.; Beusen, A. H. W.; Lassaletta, L.; Van Apeldoorn, D. F.; Van Grinsven, H. J. M.; Zhang, J.; van Ittersum, M. K. Lessons from temporal and spatial patterns in global use of N and P fertilizer on cropland. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, No. 40366.

(122) Mosier, A. R.; Duxbury, J. M.; Freney, J. R.; Heinemeier, O.; Minami, K. Nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural fields: assessment, measurement and mitigation. *Plant Soil* **1996**, *181*, 95– 108.

(123) IPCC. Revised 1996 Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories National Greenhouse Gas Inventory Program (NGGIP); Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change/Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, 1996.

(124) Kroeze, C.; Mosier, A.; Bouwman, L. Closing the global N_2O budget: A retrospective analysis 1500-1994. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **1999**, 13, 1–8.

(125) Marzadri, A.; Amatulli, G.; Tonina, D.; Bellin, A.; Shen, L. Q.; Allen, G. H.; Raymond, P. A. Global riverine nitrous oxide emissions: The role of small streams and large rivers. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2021**, 776, No. 145148.

(126) Friedl, G.; Wüest, A. Disrupting biogeochemical cycles— Consequences of damming. *Aquat. Sci.* **2002**, *64*, 55–65.

(127) Yan, X.; Thieu, V.; Garnier, J. Long-term evolution of greenhouse gas emissions from global reservoirs. *Front. Environ. Sci.* **2021**, *9*, No. 705477.

(128) Jones, J. B.; Mulholland, P. J. Influence of drainage basin topography and elevation on carbon dioxide and methane supersaturation of stream water. *Biogeochemistry* **1998**, *40*, 57–72.

(129) Murray, R. H.; Erler, D. V.; Eyre, B. D. Nitrous oxide fluxes in estuarine environments: response to global change. *Global Change Biol.* **2015**, *21*, 3219–3245.

(130) Basu, N. B.; Van Meter, K. J.; Byrnes, D. K.; Van Cappellen, P.; Brouwer, R.; Jacobsen, B. H.; Jarsjö, J.; Rudolph, D. L.; Cunha, M. C.; Nelson, N.; Bhattacharya, R.; Destouni, G.; Olsen, S. B. Managing nitrogen legacies to accelerate water quality improvement. *Nat. Geosci.* **2022**, *15*, 97–105.

(131) Beusen, A. H. W.; Doelman, J. C.; Van Beek, L. P. H.; Van Puijenbroek, P. J. T. M.; Mogollón, J. M.; Van Grinsven, H. J. M.; Stehfest, E.; Van Vuuren, D. P.; Bouwman, A. F. Exploring river nitrogen and phosphorus loading and export to global coastal waters in the Shared Socio-economic pathways. *Glob. Environ. Change* **2022**, 72, No. 102426.

(132) Zarfl, C.; Lumsdon, A. E.; Berlekamp, J.; Tydecks, L.; Tockner, K. A global boom in hydropower dam construction. *Aquat. Sci.* **2015**, *77*, 161–170.

(133) Wang, G.; Xia, X.; Liu, S.; Zhang, S.; Yan, W.; McDowell, W. H. Distinctive patterns and controls of nitrous oxide concentrations and fluxes from urban inland waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *55*, 8422–8431.

(134) Wang, J.; Chen, N.; Yan, W.; Wang, B.; Yang, L. Effect of dissolved oxygen and nitrogen on emission of N_2O from rivers in China. *Atmos. Environ.* **2015**, *103*, 347–356.

(135) Li, Q.; Wang, F.; Yu, Q.; Yan, W.; Li, X.; Lv, S. Dominance of nitrous oxide production by nitrification and denitrification in the shallow Chaohu Lake, Eastern China: Insight from isotopic

characteristics of dissolved nitrous oxide. *Environ. Pollut.* 2019, 255, No. 113212.

(136) Wang, H.; Zhang, L.; Yao, X.; Xue, B.; Yan, W. Dissolved nitrous oxide and emission relating to denitrification across the Poyang Lake aquatic continuum. *J. Environ. Sci.* **2017**, *52*, 130–140.

(137) Davis, M. P.; Groh, T. A.; Jaynes, D. B.; Parkin, T. B.; Isenhart, T. M. Nitrous oxide emissions from saturated riparian buffers: Are we trading a water quality problem for an air quality problem? *J. Environ. Qual.* **2019**, *48*, 261–269.

(138) Allen, G. H.; Pavelsky, T. M. Global extent of rivers and streams. *Science* **2018**, *361*, 585–588.

(139) Lehner, B.; Roth, A.; Huber, M.; Anand, M.; Grill, G.; Osterkamp, N.; Tubbesing, R.; Warmedinger, L.; Thieme, M. In HydroSHEDS v2.0—Refined Global River Network and Catchment Delineations from TanDEM-X Elevation Data, EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, 2021; pp EGU21–9277.

(140) Messager, M. L.; Lehner, B.; Grill, G.; Nedeva, I.; Schmitt, O. Estimating the volume and age of water stored in global lakes using a geo-statistical approach. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, No. 13603.

Recommended by ACS

Atmospheric Reactive Nitrogen Deposition from 2010 to 2021 in Lake Taihu and the Effects on Phytoplankton

Jianming Deng, Congbin Fu, et al. MAY 15, 2023 ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

READ 🗹

Emissions of Nitric Oxide from Photochemical and Microbial Processes in Coastal Waters of the Yellow and East China Seas

Jiang-Chen Gong, Gui-Peng Yang, et al. FEBRUARY 21, 2023 ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY READ

Controls on Nitrogen Leaching in Agricultural Soils under Managed Aquifer Recharge

Laibin Huang, Jorge L. Mazza Rodrigues, et al. JULY 19, 2023

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

READ 🗹

RFAD

Spatiotemporal Patterns of Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions in China's Inland Waters Identified by Machine Learning Technique

Cheng Yang, Wen-Wei Li, *et al.* JULY 26, 2023 ACS ES&T WATER

Get More Suggestions >